

DARLING DOWNS PARISH OF THE AELC
TRINITY OAKLEY, ST PAUL'S GREENWOOD, ST JOHN'S AUBIGNY,
BETHLEHEM TOOWOOMBA

21 October 2018

Bulletin for the 22nd Sunday after Pentecost

HYMNS: 501 372 349 426

INTROIT: How will a young man keep his behaviour pure? By keeping it according to Your Word.

I have been seeking You with all my heart. Do not let me go astray from Your Commandments!

I have treasured Your Word in my heart, that I may not sin against You.

Blessed are You, O LORD! Teach me Your Prescriptions!

With my lips I have proclaimed all the Decisions of Your mouth.

I have been rejoicing in the way of Your Testimonies as much as in all riches.

Let me meditate on Your Directions, and let me examine Your Ways!

I shall delight in Your Statutes. I shall not forget Your Word.

Psalm 119:9-16

COLLECT: O God, Your divine wisdom sets in order all things in heaven and on earth. Put away from us all things hurtful and give us those things that are beneficial for us; through Jesus Christ, Your Son, our Lord, who lives and reigns with You and the Holy Spirit, one God, now and for ever. Amen.

READINGS: Ecclesiastes 5:10-20 Find enjoyment in your lot in life.

Hebrews 4:1-16 There remains a Sabbath rest for the people of God.

Mark 10:23-31 With God all things are possible.

SERMON: Mark 10:23-31 With God all things are possible

The Hermeneutic of Harm

Gene Veith

Some churches teach that gay marriage, abortion, and other violations of traditional morality are not wrong at all. One might wonder, how can they do that? Certainly, non-Christians and churches that are so liberal that they don't believe in the authority of the Bible at all don't have a problem with making up their own morality. Most churches, though, want to keep at least some connection to the Bible and to historical Christianity. So how do they rationalize their departures from historic Christianity? There are actually several ways.

Some liberal Lutherans practice "gospel reductionism," according to which Christians are bound only to the parts of the Bible that teach the gospel. But we don't have to follow the "law" parts. Episcopalians say that the Holy Spirit speaks both through the Bible and through tradition. I have heard liberal Episcopalians defend gay marriage in the name of "tradition," which they construe to mean what the Church itself is in control of. This, of course, is the opposite of what tradition means – namely, that churches must continue to follow and not change the teachings of the past. But the liberal theologians are using "tradition" as a license to revise Christian teachings, while claiming, as I have heard them say, that "the Holy Spirit is doing a new thing!" (Notice how this episcopal theology, with its rule by bishops, is a progressive version of the Roman Catholic claim that the Holy Spirit speaks through the church's human leadership.)

Now I've heard of a new way to twist Scripture according to your personal preferences. John Ehrett discusses what he calls the "hermeneutic of harm." This is an approach to interpreting the Bible favored by some progressive evangelicals – or "exvangelicals" as they call themselves – who want to keep an allegiance to the Scriptures. Although now that he mentions it, I have noticed this approach also in mainline circles.

They reason that since the Bible is good and true and authoritative, it could never teach anything that is harmful. Since homosexuality is so self-evidently good, the Bible would never teach otherwise. Interpretations of the Bible that would use it to oppose gay marriage and the like must be incorrect. Conversely, we should interpret the Bible so that it will support the desired outcome.

From John Ehrett, *Against the “Hermeneutic of Harm”*: . . .There’s a lot I disagree with in the “exvangelical” (or, perhaps more accurately, “progressive evangelical”) space. Perhaps my greatest difficulty with this “movement” is neatly epitomized by a particular theological-rhetorical development I’ve recently noticed: something I’ll call the “hermeneutic of harm.”

I’ve only recently noticed this concept, but it seems to have been percolating for some time. I first encountered it in the writings of Matthew Vines, author of the popular book *God and the Gay Christian*. In Vines’ words: “In Matthew 7, in the Sermon on the Mount, Jesus warns against false teachers, and he offers a principle that can be used to test good teaching from bad teaching. By their fruit, you will recognize them, he says. Every good tree bears good fruit, but a bad tree bears bad fruit. A good tree cannot bear bad fruit, and a bad tree cannot bear good fruit. Good teachings, according to Jesus, have good consequences... If we’re taking Jesus seriously that bad fruit cannot come from a good tree, then that should cause us to question whether the traditional teaching [on same-sex sexual behavior] is correct.”

Popular writer Rachel Held Evans echoes this sentiment in her recent book *Inspired: Slaying Giants, Walking on Water, and Loving the Bible Again*. She writes that “[s]piritual maturation requires . . . embracing those stories that move us toward wholeness while rejecting or reinterpreting those that do harm.” And over the last year or two, I’ve heard additional versions of this approach promoted on multiple podcasts, blogs, and other venues.

As should be apparent, this approach to interpretation is very far from anything resembling traditional exegesis. What is understood as authoritative is not interpretive tradition, the plain meaning of the text, or the overarching message of Scripture: what is understood as authoritative is any given individual’s classification of a given principle as “good” or “bad.”

What strikes me is that the hermeneutic of harm argues BACKWARDS, not from Scripture but to Scripture. Normally, a Christian would figure out what the Bible says about a topic, and then let that teaching determine the Christian’s position on that topic. The hermeneutic of harm begins with the position, then goes back to the Bible to construct a tortuous reading that supports that position.

This would seem to be the opposite of accepting the Bible’s authority. It imposes one’s own authority, along with the authority of current cultural trends, onto the Bible.

Welcome to all worshipping with us today! May God bless our worship of Him by His serving of us!
 Pastor Peter Ziebell, Phone 0407583922.
 Email him at paziebell@gmail.com
 Weekly sermons and bulletins are available at www.aelc.org.au.
Please notify pastor of anyone who is unwell or in need of a visit.

COMING SERVICES

28 October Reformation	4 November All Saints’ Day	11 November 25 Pentecost
8.30am OAK HC 8.30am TMBA LR 9.30am AUB LR 10.00am GRN HC	10.00am AUB HC AELC Service	8.30am OAK HC Harvest 8.30am TMBA LR 9.30am AUB LR 10.00am GRN HC Harvest
Sunday, 28 October		
READINGS: Revelation 14:6-7; Romans 3:19-28; Matthew 11:12-19		
HYMNS: 138 195 333 (852 368) 197		
Sunday, 4 November		
READINGS: Revelation 7:9-17; 1 John 3:1-3; Matthew 5:1-12		
HYMNS:		

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Sunday, 4 November. Could each family please bring a plate for a shared lunch? Also, please place your offering in an envelope marked back to your own congregation. All loose offering will go to the AELC treasury.